arXiv:0906.3109v1 [math.AG] 17 Jun 2009

EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY AND HIGHER CHOW GROUPS OF SMOOTH VARIETIES

AMALENDU KRISHNA

Abstract. For any variety X with an action of a split torus over a field, we establish a spectral sequence connecting the equivariant and the non-equivariant higher Chow groups of X. For X smooth and projective, we give an explicit formula relating the equivariant and the non-equivariant higher Chow groups. This in particular implies that the spectral sequence degenerates. These results also hold with rational coefficients for action of any connected and split reductive group. As applications to the above results, we show that for a connected linear algebraic group G acting on a smooth projective variety X, the forgetful map $K_i^G(X) \to K_i(X)$ induces an isomorphism $K_i^G(X)/I_G K_i^G(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_i(X)$ with rational coefficients. This generalizes a result of Graham to higher K-theory of such varieties. We also prove a refinement of the equivariant Riemann-Roch theorem of [17] and [16] for such varieties, which leads to the generalizations of the main results of [7] and [9] to the equivariant higher K-theory.

1. Introduction

A *variety* in this paper will mean a reduced, connected and separated scheme of finite type with an ample line bundle over a field k. This base field k will be fixed throughout this paper. Let G be a linear algebraic group over k acting on such a variety X. Recall that this action on X is said to be linear if X admits a G-equivariant ample line bundle, a condition which is always satisfied if X is normal (*cf.* [22, Theorem 2.5] for G connected and [23, 5.7] for G general). All G-actions in this paper will be assumed to be linear. The equivariant higher Chow groups of X for a G-action were first defined by Edidin and Graham [8], relying on the ideas of Totaro [27], who was the first to define the Chow ring of a classifying space. It turns out that these groups give rise to the correct notion of the motivic cohomology of quotient stacks in the sense that they satisfy all the expected properties of the motivic cohomology of schemes which were first defined by Bloch [3]. It should be pointed out here that the notion of the motivic cohomology of general Artin stacks is yet to constructed.

There has been a considerable amount of work in recent times to understand the equivariant Chow groups and to relate them with the equivariant K-theory. One of the principal questions in the study of the equivariant higher Chow groups is about their relation with the non-equivariant higher Chow groups of varieties. In particular, one would like to know if there are tools which can be used to study one in terms of the other.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C40, 14C35; Secondary 14C25.

Key words and phrases. Equivariant K-theory, Higher Chow groups, Algebraic groups.

It often turns out that the equivariant K-theory or the Chow groups are easier to understand compared to their non-equivariant companions, and hence such a relation would be helpful in the study of the higher K-theory of varieties through the equivariant techniques. The main goal of this paper is to prove some results in this direction and study some important applications of these results to the higher K-theory.

For a linear algebraic group G, let $R(G)$ denote the representation ring of R, which is same as the Grothendieck group $K_0^G(k)$. Let I_G denote the ideal of $R(G)$ which consists of the virtual representations of G of rank zero. That is, I_G is the kernel of the rank map $R(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$. For a G-variety X, let $K_i^G(X)$ (resp. $G_i^G(X)$) denote the ith homotopy group of the K-theory spectrum of G-equivariant vector bundles (resp. coherent sheaves) on X. For the linear algebraic group G as above, let $S(G)$ denote the equivariant Chow ring $CH_G^*(k,0) = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} CH_G^j(k,0)$, and let J_G denote the irrelevant ideal $\bigoplus_{j\geq 1} CH_G^j(k,0)$. Note that $S(G)_0 = CH_G^0(k,0) = \mathbb{Z}$ and there is an exact sequence of $S(G)$-modules

$$0 \to J_G \to S(G) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

For a variety X with a G-action, put

$$CH_G^*(X,i) = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} CH_G^j(X,i) \quad \text{for} \quad i \geq 0,$$

which is known to be an infinite sum in general. It is known [17] that there is an action of $CH_G^*(k,0)$ on $CH_G^*(X,i)$ and this makes the latter a graded $S(G)$-module. Moreover, any G-equivariant map $f : X \to Y$ induces an $S(G)$-linear pull-back map on the equivariant higher Chow groups if Y is smooth, and an $S(G)$-linear push-forward map if f is proper (*cf.* [3, 5.8], [17, 2.1]). There is a natural forgetful map $CH_G^*(X,i) \to CH^*(X,i)$ (see below) from the equivariant to the non-equivariant higher Chow groups. Similarly, forgetting the group structure on the vector bundles or coherent sheaves gives natural forgetful maps $K^G(X) \to K(X)$ and $G^G(X) \to G(X)$ between spectra. We finally recall that a connected linear algebraic group G over the field k is said to be *split*, if it has a split maximal torus over k. We now state our results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a split torus acting on a (possibly singular) variety X. Then there is a convergent spectral sequence*

$$E_{p,q}^2 = \mathrm{Tor}_p^{S(G)}\left(\mathbb{Z}, CH_G^*(X,q)\right) \Rightarrow CH^*(X,p+q) \tag{1.1}$$

such that the edge homomorphisms $CH_G^*(X,i) \otimes_{S(G)} \mathbb{Z} \to CH^*(X,i)$ are induced by the forgetful map $CH_G^*(X,i) \to CH_G^*(X,i)$. If G is any connected and split reductive group, then such a spectral sequence exists with rational coefficients.

For the equivariant K-theory, a similar spectral sequence was found by Merkurjev (*cf.* [19, Theorem 10]) for those split reductive groups whose commutator subgroups are simply connected. The following result, which was proved by Brion (*cf.* [4, Corollary 2.3]) for the split torus action, is immediate from Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2 (Brion)**.** *For a split torus G acting on a variety X, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$CH^*_G(X,0) \otimes_{S(G)} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cong} CH^*(X,0).$$

This map is an isomorphism with rational coefficients for actions of all connected and split reductive groups.

Our next theorem is the most complete result for the torus action on smooth projective varieties as it gives an explicit presentation of the equivariant higher Chow groups (with integral coefficients) in terms of the non-equivariant higher Chow groups. The equivariant Chow groups at level zero, that is, $CH^*_G(X,0)$ for the torus action have been studied in detail by Brion [4] before. But his results and the techniques used to prove them work only with the rational coefficients and they can not be generalized if one wants to study the torsion in the equivariant Chow groups.

Theorem 1.3. *If G is a split torus acting on a smooth projective variety X, then for every $i \geq 0$, there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$CH^*(X,i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} CH^*_G(X,i). \tag{1.2}$$

In particular, the spectral sequence 1.1 degenerates. If G is any connected and split reductive group acting on a smooth projective variety X, then 1.1 degenerates with rational coefficients.

The following generalization of [4, Theorem 3.2] to the equivariant higher Chow groups is immediate from Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be split torus acting on a smooth projective variety X. Then for every $i \geq 0$, the $S(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module $CH^*_G(X,i)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is free.*

We now give some very important applications of the above results. As the first consequence of Theorem 1.3, we prove the following generalization of the results of Merkurjev [19, Corollary 10] and Graham [11, Theorem 1.1] to the higher K-theory of smooth projective varieties.

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a connected and reductive group acting on a smooth projective variety X. Then for all $i \geq 0$, the forgetful map $K^G_i(X) \to K_i(X)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$K^G_i(X)/I_G K^G_i(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_i(X) \tag{1.3}$$

with rational coefficients. In particular, the natural map $K^G_i(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to K_i(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is surjective. In characteristic zero, the isomorphism of 1.3 holds for any connected linear algebraic group.

Graham had proved this result for the K_0 of any variety with an action of a connected reductive group, while Merkurjev proved this at the level of K_0 (with integral coefficients) for those groups whose commutator subgroups are simply connected. We also point out that although Merkurjev's result holds with the integral coefficients, it is in general unavoidable to tensor the K-groups with $\mathbb{Q}$ to prove the surjectivity of the forgetful map (*cf.* [11, Example 4.1]).

As the second application of Theorem 1.3, we prove the following generalization of the equivariant Riemann-Roch theorem of Edidin and Graham (*cf.* [7, Theorem 4.1]) to the higher K-theory. This also gives a refinement of the more general equivariant Riemann-Roch theorems of [17] for the smooth projective varieties. For a smooth variety X with G-action, let $\widehat{K^G_i(X)}$ denote the completion of the $R(G)$-module $K^G_i(X)$ with respect to the augmentation ideal of $R(G)$.

Theorem 1.6. *Let G be a connected and reductive group acting on a smooth projective variety X. Then for every $i \ge 0$, the Riemann-Roch map (cf. [17, Theorem 8.5])*

$$\widehat{\tau}^G_X : \widehat{K^G_i(X)}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} CH^j_G(X,i)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is an isomorphism. In characteristic zero, this isomorphism holds for any connected linear algebraic group.

The equivariant K-theory of topological bundles on a locally compact space with an action of a Lie group G was developed by Segal in [21] and it has played many crucial roles in the latter works of Atiyah and others. In an important work in this direction, Atiyah and Segal (*cf.* [1, Theorem 2.1]) showed under certain finiteness condition that if a compact Lie group G acts on a compact space X, then the completion of the equivariant topological K-theory of X with respect to the augmentation ideal of the representation ring $R(G)$ is isomorphic to the topological K-theory of the quotient space $X_G = X \overset{G}{\times} EG$. This latter term is same as the inverse limit of $K^{top}_*(X \overset{G}{\times} E^nG)$, where $\{E^nG\}$ is any sequence of finite dimensional approximations of the contractible G-space EG such that $EG/G = BG$ is the classifying space of G. The following corollary proves the result of Atiyah and Segal for the algebraic K-theory. Before we state it, recall (*cf.* [27, Section 3]) that the *topological* algebraic K-groups of X are defined as

$$K^{top}_*(X_G) = \varprojlim K_*\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U\right), \tag{1.4}$$

where the inverse limit is taken over representations V of G such that (V, U) form good pairs (*cf.* Section 2 below).

Corollary 1.7. *Let G be a connected reductive group acting on a smooth projective variety X over a field k. Then for every $i \ge 0$, there is a map $K^G_i(X) \to K^{top}_i(X_G)$ which induces an isomorphism*

$$\widehat{K^G_i(X)} \xrightarrow{\cong} K^{top}_i(X_G) \tag{1.5}$$

with rational coefficients.

A special case of the corollary when $X = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ and $i = 0$, was proved by Totaro (*cf.* [27, Theorem 3.1]). Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.7 in a sense show that for a smooth projective variety, the completion of the equivariant rational algebraic K-theory with respect to the augmentation ideal of $R(G)$ can be described in terms of the motivic cohomology. We shall discuss the issue of a similar description of the equivariant K-theory with finite coefficients towards the end of this paper. As it turns out, this is possible only for those $K_i^G(X)$ for which i is large as one would expect following the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture in the non-equivariant K-theory.

Before we state our final application, we recall from [24] (see also [16]) that if G is a connected and split linear algebraic group with a split maximal torus $T = D_k(N)$, then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[N] \xrightarrow{\cong} R(T)$. Moreover, every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(T) = R(T) {\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ corresponds to a unique diagonalizable closed subgroup $T_{\mathfrak{m}} = D_{\mathbb{Q}}(N/N_{\mathfrak{m}})$ of $D_{\mathbb{Q}}(N)$ where $N_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the kernel of the natural map of abelian groups

$$N \xrightarrow{\phi} (R(T)/\mathfrak{m})^* \text{ given by } n \mapsto [n] \ mod \ \mathfrak{m}.$$

$T_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is called the *support* of $\mathfrak{m}$. Note that $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is connected if and only if the image of ϕ has no torsion. Then $S = T_{\mathfrak{m}} {\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ is a diagonalizable subgroup of T. Let $Z = Z_G(S)$ denote the centralizer of S in G.

It is shown in [16, Proposition 2.1] that the natural map $R(G) \to R(T)$ is finite and injective. In particular, every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R(G)$ is the restriction of a (not necessarily unique) maximal ideal of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ of $R(T)$. If G acts on a smooth variety X, we denote the completion of the $R(G)$-module $K_i^G(X)$ with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ by $\widehat{K_i^G(X)}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let G be a connected group acting on a smooth projective variety X over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ and assume that the support $T_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is a subtorus of the maximal torus T of G. Let $L = R_{\mathbb{Q}}(T)/\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the residue field of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ and let $f : X^{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}} \hookrightarrow X$ denote the inclusion of the fixed locus for the action of $T_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$ on X. Then for every $i \geq 0$, there is a Chern character map*

$$ch_{\mathfrak{m}}^X : \widehat{K_i^G(X)}_{\mathfrak{m}, L} \to \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} CH_Z^j \left(X^{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}, i \right)_L$$

which is an isomorphism. If the coefficient L is replaced by k, then this isomorphism holds for all maximal ideals of $R_k(G)$.

This result was proved for $i = 0$ by Edidin and Graham (*cf.* [9, Theorem 5.5]) when k is the field of complex numbers and all the K-groups, Chow groups and the representation rings are considered with the complex coefficients. The above result also improves the analogous twisted Riemann-Roch theorem in [16, Theorem 1.2] for smooth projective varieties.

We now briefly describe the contents of the various sections of this paper. Our construction of the spectral sequence of Theorem 1.1 is based on Levine's (*cf.* [18]) generalization of Quillen's localization sequence in K-theory. In the next section, we briefly recall Levine's construction and adapt this in our context to partially obtain the desired spectral sequence. In Section 3, we suitably identify the terms of this spectral sequence to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we use the decomposition theorem of Bialynicki-Birula and its various improvements to give an integral presentation of the equivariant higher Chow groups of smooth projective varieties with torus action. In Section 5, we combine Theorem 1.3 with the Riemann-Roch theorem of [17] to prove Theorems 1.5, 1.6 and 1.8. The last section is mostly independent of the rest of the paper, where we give a brief account of the Riemann-Roch theorem for the equivariant K-theory with finite coefficients. In particular, we give a proof of the equivariant version of the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture.

2. SPECTRAL SEQUENCE FOR EQUIVARIANT HIGHER CHOW GROUPS

Our main tool for proving Theorem 1.1 is Levine's (*cf.* [18, Section 1]) generalization of Quillen's localization sequence in K-theory. We adapt the methods of Levine in our context and then prove our result by suitably identifying the terms of the resulting spectral sequence. We begin by very briefly recalling the terms and definitions used by Levine (*loc. cit.*) which will be useful to us in what follows.

We recall that the n-cube $< n >$ is the category of subsets (including the empty set) of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ with maps being inclusions of subsets. An n-cube X_* in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a covariant functor $X :< n > \to \mathcal{C}$. For any $I \in < n >$, we denote $X(I)$ usually by X_I. We shall be dealing with $< n >$-cubes in the category $\mathcal{S}$ of simplicial abelian groups in what follows. For any such $< n >$-cube X_*, Levine defines an object $\mathrm{fib} X_*$ in $\mathcal{S}$ which is functorial in cubes and such that for $n = 1$, it is the homotopy fiber of the obvious map $X_\emptyset \to X_{\{1\}}$. For any n, this is defined inductively by taking the homotopy fiber of the natural map $\mathrm{fib} X_{*/n} \to \mathrm{fib} X_{*\supset n}$ of the fibers of $< n-1 >$-cubes. For any such $< n >$-cube X_*, Levine derives a convergent spectral sequence

$$E(X_*) : E^1_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{|I|=p} \pi_q(X_I) \Rightarrow \pi_{q-p}\left(\mathrm{fib} X_*\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where the E^1-differential is the alternating sum of the maps induced by

$$X_{I \subset I \cup j} : X_I \to X_{I \cup j}, \ j \notin I.$$

In particular, one has

$$d^1_{p,q}(e_I) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_n \le n \\ i_j \notin I}} (-1)^j \pi_{-q}(X_{I \subset I \cup i_j})(e_I). \tag{2.2}$$

Let G be a split torus of rank r and let $\{\chi_1, \cdots, \chi_r\}$ be a chosen basis of the character group G^*. We define an action of G on $\mathbb{A}^r$ by $t.x = y$, where $y_i = \chi_i(t)x_i$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Let H_i be the coordinate hyperplane in $\mathbb{A}^r$ given by $(x_i = 0)$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Then each H_i is a G-invariant closed subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^r$ and $G = \mathbb{A}^r - \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{r} H_i$. For any subset $I \subset \{1, \cdots, r\} =< r >$, let

$$H_I = \begin{cases} \bigcap\limits_{i \notin I} H_i & \text{if } I \ne < r > \\ \mathbb{A}^r & \text{if } I =< r > . \end{cases}$$

For $I \subset J$, we have the natural inclusion $H_I \subset H_J$ and $H_\emptyset = \bigcap\limits_{i=1}^{r} H_i$. From this, we see that for a G-variety X, the assignment $I \mapsto X \times H_I$ gives an $< r >$-cube X_*

in the category of G-invariant closed subschemes of $X \times \mathbb{A}^r$ for the diagonal action of G on the product. Let r_I denote the dimension of H_I.

We want to consider the $< r >$-cubes of cycle complexes in $\mathcal{S}$ which compute the equivariant higher Chow groups. For this, we recall the construction of the equivariant higher Chow groups from [8] (see also [17, Section 2]). For a fixed $j \geq 0$, let V be a representation of G and let $U \subset V$ be a G-invariant open subset such that G acts freely on U, the quotient U/G is a smooth quasi-projective variety and the complement $V - U$ has codimension bigger than j. Such a pair (V, U) is called a *good pair* for the G-action corresponding to j. It is known that the good pairs always exist [27]. Let X_G denote the mixed quotient $X \overset{G}{\times} U$. Then X_G is a quasi-projective variety (*cf.* [8, Proposition 23], [17, Lemma 2.1]) and is smooth if X is so. The equivariant higher Chow group $CH^j_G(X, i)$ is defined as the homology group $H_i(\mathcal{Z}(X_G, \cdot))$ for $i \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{Z}(X_G, \cdot)$ is the Bloch's cycle complex of the variety X_G [3]. The groups $CH^j_G(X, i)$ are independent of the choice of a good pair (V, U). For every $j \geq 0$, we choose a good pair (V_j, U_j) for the G-action corresponding to $j + r$ and consider the $< r >$-cube $\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}$ in $\mathcal{S}$ given by

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}_I = \mathcal{Z}^{j+r_I}\left((X \times H_I) \overset{G}{\times} U_j, \cdot\right).$$

It is easy to check that the push-forward map of the cycles complexes corresponding to the closed immersion $H_I \subset H_J$ makes $\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}$ an $< r >$-cube in $\mathcal{S}$. We let $\mathcal{Z}_*$ denote the $< r >$-cube $\mathcal{Z}_* = \coprod_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}^{(j)}$.

Lemma 2.1. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{fib}\mathcal{Z}_* \xrightarrow{\cong} \coprod_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{Z}^{j+r}\left((X \times G) \overset{G}{\times} U_j, \cdot\right)[-r].$$

in the derived category $D^+(Ab)$ of bounded below chain complexes of abelian groups.

Proof. We prove this by induction on the length $r = |< r >|$ of cubes. For $r = 1$, this follows from the fact that $G = \mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$ and the fibration sequence (*cf.* [3, Theorem 3.3])

$$\mathcal{Z}^j\left((X \times \{0\}) \overset{G}{\times} U_j, \cdot\right) \to \mathcal{Z}^{j+1}\left((X \times \mathbb{A}^1) \overset{G}{\times} U_j, \cdot\right) \to \mathcal{Z}^{j+1}\left((X \times \mathbb{G}_m) \overset{G}{\times} U_j, \cdot\right).$$

In general, we assume that $r \geq 2$ and the lemma holds for $n \leq r - 1$. In the above notations, we have

$$\mathrm{fib}\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}_* = \mathrm{homfiber}\left(\mathrm{fib}\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}_{*/r} \to \mathrm{fib}\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}_{*\supset r}\right).$$

Moreover, by Levine's construction (*loc. cit.*), $\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}_{*/r}$ is same as the the functor $\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}_*$ applied to H_r with closed subschemes $\{H_r \cap H_1, \cdots, H_r \cap H_{r-1}\}$ and hence by induction, we have

$$\text{fib}\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}_{*/r} \cong \mathcal{Z}^{j+r-1}\left(\left(X \times (H_r - \underset{l=1}{\overset{r-1}{\cup}} H_r \cap H_l)\right) \overset{G}{\times} U_j, \cdot\right)[-r+1] \tag{2.3}$$

in $D^+(Ab)$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}_{*\supset r}$ is same as the functor $\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}_*$ applied to the $< r-1 >$-cube of closed subschemes of $\mathbb{A}^r$ given by

$$X(I) = \underset{\substack{l \notin I \\ 1 \le l \le r-1}}{\cap} H_l \text{ for } I \subset \{1, \cdots, r-1\}.$$

Hence we apply the induction to get

$$\text{fib}\mathcal{Z}^{(j)}_{*\supset r} \cong \mathcal{Z}^{j+r}\left(\left(X \times (\mathbb{A}^r - \underset{l=1}{\overset{r-1}{\cup}} H_l)\right) \overset{G}{\times} U_j, \cdot\right)[-r+1]. \tag{2.4}$$

The lemma now follows from 2.3, 2.4 and the fibration sequence

$$\mathcal{Z}^{j+r-1}\left(\left(X \times (H_r - \underset{l=1}{\overset{r-1}{\cup}} H_r \cap H_l)\right) \overset{G}{\times} U_j, \cdot\right) \to \mathcal{Z}^{j+r}\left(\left(X \times (\mathbb{A}^r - \underset{l=1}{\overset{r-1}{\cup}} H_l)\right) \overset{G}{\times} U_j, \cdot\right)$$
$$\to \mathcal{Z}^{j+r}\left(\left(X \times (\mathbb{A}^r - \underset{l=1}{\overset{r}{\cup}} H_l)\right) \overset{G}{\times} U_j, \cdot\right)$$

and the fact that $\mathbb{A}^r - \underset{l=1}{\overset{r}{\cup}} H_l = G$. □

Using Lemma 2.1 and taking the homotopy groups of $\mathcal{Z}_I$ and fib$\mathcal{Z}_*$, the spectral sequence 2.1 in our case becomes

$$E^1_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{|I|=r-p} CH^*_G(X \times H_I, q) \Rightarrow CH^*_G(X \times G, p+q). \tag{2.5}$$

3. Proof Of Theorem 1.1

Before we prove Theorem 1.1, we need to identify the E^1 differential of the spectral sequence 2.5. We begin with an elementary moving lemma for the higher Chow groups on singular varieties.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a (possibly singular) closed subvariety of a smooth variety M. Let $Z \hookrightarrow M$ be a closed subscheme which is a Cartier divisor. Assume that Z intersects X properly and let $W = Z \cap X$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_W(X, \cdot)$ be the subcomplex of the cycle complex $\mathcal{Z}(X, \cdot)$ of X, generated by the irreducible cycles on $X \times \Delta^\bullet$ which intersect W properly (cf. [3]). Then the inclusion map $\mathcal{Z}_W(X, \cdot) \to \mathcal{Z}(X, \cdot)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $U \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} M$ be the complement of X in M and let $V = Z \cap U$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_Z(M, \cdot)$ be the subcomplex of $\mathcal{Z}(M, \cdot)$ generated by the irreducible cycles on $M \times \Delta^\bullet$ which intersect Z properly. We similarly define $\mathcal{Z}_V(U, \cdot)$. Since Z and W are the Cartier divisors on M and X respectively, it is clear that $\mathcal{Z}_W(X,\cdot) = \mathcal{Z}_Z(M,\cdot) \cap \mathcal{Z}(X,\cdot)$. Thus we have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Z}_W(X,\cdot) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Z}_Z(M,\cdot) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Z}_Z(M,\cdot)/\mathcal{Z}_W(X,\cdot) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Z}(X,\cdot) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Z}(M,\cdot) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Z}(M,\cdot)/\mathcal{Z}(X,\cdot) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

It suffices to show that the middle and the right vertical arrows in the above diagram are quasi-isomorphisms. The middle one is a quasi-isomorphism by [3, Lemma 4.2]. The maps $\mathcal{Z}(M,\cdot)/\mathcal{Z}(X,\cdot) \to \mathcal{Z}(U,\cdot) \leftarrow \mathcal{Z}_V(U,\cdot)$ are also quasi-isomorphisms by [3, Theorem 3.3, Lemma 4.2]. Thus we only need to show that the natural map $\mathcal{Z}_Z(M,\cdot)/\mathcal{Z}_W(X,\cdot) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathcal{Z}_V(U,\cdot)$ is a quasi-isomorphism in order to prove that the right (and hence the left) vertical arrow above is a quasi-isomorphism.

This follows essentially from the argument as in the proof of the quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}(M,\cdot)/\mathcal{Z}(X,\cdot) \to \mathcal{Z}(U,\cdot)$ in [3, Theorem 3.3]. We give the brief sketch. Embed M as a locally closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^N$ for $N \gg 0$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{Z}_{(M,X),Z}\left(\mathbb{P}^N,\cdot\right) \to \mathcal{Z}_{U,Z}\left(\mathbb{P}^N,\cdot\right) \oplus \left[\mathcal{Z}_Z(M,\cdot)/\mathcal{Z}_W(X,\cdot)\right] \xrightarrow{\alpha_\bullet} \mathcal{Z}_V(U,\cdot).$$

The map $\mathcal{Z}_{(M,X),Z}\left(\mathbb{P}^N,\cdot\right) \to \mathcal{Z}_{U,Z}\left(\mathbb{P}^N,\cdot\right)$ is a quasi-isomorphism by [*loc. cit.*, Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 4.2]. So we only need to show that given n, there exists $N(n)$ such that $N \geq N(n)$ implies that α_n is surjective. But this follows from the proof of Lemma 3.5 of *loc. cit.*, whose argument works even if one puts a proper intersection condition with a given finite collection of locally closed subsets of M. ☐

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a linear algebraic group acting on a variety X. Let $E \xrightarrow{p} X$ be a G-equivariant line bundle on X and let $X \xrightarrow{f} E$ be the zero-section embedding. Then there exists a pull-back map $CH^*_G(E,\cdot) \xrightarrow{f^*} CH^*_G(X,\cdot)$ such that $f^* \circ p^* = id$ and $f^* \circ f_*$ is the action of $c_1^G(E)$ on $CH^*_G(X,\cdot)$.*

Proof. By choosing a good pair (V,U) for the G-action and considering the appropriate mixed quotients, we are reduced to proving the non-equivariant version of the corollary.

By [10, Lemma 18.2], there is a closed embedding $X \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} M$ such that M is a smooth variety and there is a line bundle $E_M \to M$ which restricts to the line bundle E on X. In particular, the zero-section embedding of M in E_M restricts to the zero-section embedding f as above. Hence we can apply Lemma 3.1 to conclude that the map $\mathcal{Z}_X(E,\cdot) \to \mathcal{Z}(E,\cdot)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. On the other hand, there is a natural map $\mathcal{Z}_X(E,\cdot) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{Z}(X,\cdot)$ given by intersecting any cycle with X. From this, it is clear that the induced map $f^* \circ p^*$ on $CH^*(X,\cdot)$ is identity. Moreover, since X is a Cartier divisor on E and since f^* is defined by intersection with X, one has $f_* \circ f^* = c_1(L)$, where L is the line bundle on E defined by the zero-section. In particular, we have for any $x \in CH^*(X, \cdot)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \circ f_*(x) &= f^* \circ f_* \left(f^* \circ p^*(x)\right) \\ &= f^* \left(c_1(L) \cdot p^*(x)\right) \\ &= f^* \left(c_1(L)\right) \cdot \left(f^* \circ p^*(x)\right) \\ &= c_1 \left(f^*(L)\right) \cdot x \\ &= c_1 \left(N_{X/E}\right) \cdot x \\ &= c_1(E) \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now identify the E^1 differential of the spectral sequence 2.5 using 2.1 and Corollary 3.2. For a fixed $I \subset < r >$ with $|I| = r - p - 1$ and $j \notin I$, let $J = I \cup \{j\}$. Then we can identify H_J as $H_I \times \mathbb{A}^1$, where the action of G on this product is induced by the action of G on $\mathbb{A}^r$ as described above. Identifying $CH^*_G(X \times H_I, i)$ and $CH^*_G(X \times H_J, i)$ with $CH^*_G(X, i)$ by the homotopy invariance, the differential of 2.1 is given by the composite

$$CH^*_G(X, i) \xrightarrow{i_*} CH^*_G\left(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, i\right) \xrightarrow{i^*} CH^*_G(X, i),$$

where i is the zero-section embedding. Hence by Corollary 3.2, this map is given the action of $c_1^G(N)$, where N is the equivariant normal bundle of the origin in the one dimensional representation $\mathbb{A}^1$. On the other hand, if χ is the generator of $\widehat{\mathbb{G}_m}$ with corresponding line bundle L_χ, then the class of χ in $K_0^G(k)$ is given by $\chi - 1$ and hence $c_1^G(N) = c_1^G(\chi - 1) = L_\chi$. We now conclude from this and the description of the differential in 2.1 that

$$d^1_{p,q} : \bigoplus_{|I|=r-p-1} CH^*_G(X, i)\, e_I \to \bigoplus_{|J|=r-p} CH^*_G(X, i)\, e_J$$

is of the form

$$d^1_{p,q}(xe_I) = \sum_{l=0}^{p} (-1)^l \left(L_{\chi_{i_l}} x\right) e_{I \cup i_l}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\{i_0, \cdots, i_p\} = I^c = < r > - I$.

We need the following lemma to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $S = S(G)$ denote the ring $CH^*_G(k, 0)$. One knows that this is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \cdots, t_r]$, where t_l is the cycle class of the line bundle L_{χ_l}. Let $K_\bullet = \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r} K_\bullet \left(S \xrightarrow{t_l} S\right)$ denote the Koszul resolution of S/J_G.

Lemma 3.3. *There is a canonical isomorphism of complexes of S-modules*

$$E^1_{\bullet,q} \xrightarrow{\cong} K_\bullet \otimes_S CH^*_G(X, q),$$

where $E^1_{\bullet,q}$ is the spectral sequence 2.5.

Proof. The terms of $K_\bullet$ are given by $K_p = \bigoplus_{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_p\le r} Se_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_p}$, which is a free S-module of rank $\binom{r}{p}$ with basis $\{f_I = e_{i_1\cdots e_{i_p}} | 1 \le i_1 < \cdots i_p \le r\}$ for $1 \le p \le r$ and $K_0 = S$. The differentials of this complex are given by

$$d\left(e_{i_1\cdots e_{i_p}}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{p} (-1)^{l-1} t_{i_l} e_{i_1\cdots\widehat{i_l}\cdots e_{i_p}}$$

for $1 \le p \le r$ and $d(e_l) = t_l$ for $p = 1$. Comparing this with 3.1, it is now easy to see that the map $\phi : E^1_{\bullet,q} \to K_\bullet \otimes_S CH^*_G(X, q)$ given by

$$\phi(xe_I) = xf_{I^c}$$

gives the desired isomorphism of complexes. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Since $K_\bullet$ is a free S-module resolution of $\mathbb{Z} = S/J_G$, it follows immediately from Lemma 3.3 that E^2-terms of the spectral sequence of the theorem have the desired form. To show that this sequence converges to $CH^*(X, p+q)$, it suffices to identify this with the limit of the spectral sequence 2.5. But this follows directly from [17, Corollary 3.2, Remark 3.4]. This proves the theorem for the torus action.

To obtain the spectral sequence for a connected and split reductive group G with rational coefficients, we fix a split maximal torus T of G. Let W be the Weyl group. Then one has $S(G) = S(T)^W$ by [8, Proposition 6] and hence $S(G)$ is a polynomial algebra by [5], and $S(T)$ is finite over $S(G)$ by [17, Lemma 4.7]. We conclude from [13, Chapter III, Exercise 10.9] that $S(T)$ must be flat (in fact free) over $S(G)$.

Let $C_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow CH^*_G(X, q)$ be a free $S(G)$-module resolution of $CH^*_G(X, q)$. Then we can apply [17, Theorem 3.8] to conclude that $C_\bullet \otimes_{S(G)} S(T)$ is a free $S(T)$-module resolution of $CH^*_T(X, q)$. This in turn implies that

$$\text{(3.2)} \qquad \mathrm{Tor}_p^{S(G)}(\mathbb{Q}, CH^*_G(X, q)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Tor}_p^{S(T)}(\mathbb{Q}, CH^*_T(X, q))$$

for all $p, q \ge 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

4. Torus Action On Smooth Projective Varieties

Our aim in this section is to study the equivariant higher Chow groups for the action of a split torus on smooth projective varieties, and to prove Theorem 1.3. Our main tool in this case is the the stratification theorem of Bialynicki-Birula [2] over algebraically closed fields and its improvement by Hesselink [14] to any base field. Since this theorem will be crucial for us in what follows and since this has been used in the literature in various forms, we state the result below in the form which will be most suitable to us (*cf.* [28, Theorem 5.15]).

Theorem 4.1 (Bialynicki-Birula, Hesselink). *Let G be a split torus acting on a smooth projective variety X over a field k. Then*
(i) The fixed point locus X^G is a smooth, closed subscheme of X.

(ii) There is a numbering $X^G = \coprod_{j=0}^{n} Z_j$ *of the connected components of the fixed point locus, a filtration of* X *by* G*-invariant closed subschemes*

$$\emptyset = X_{-1} \subset X_0 \subset \cdots \subset X_n = X$$

and maps $\phi_j : X_j - X_{j-1} \to Z_j$ *for* $0 \le j \le n$ *which are all* G*-equivariant vector bundles.*

We prove the following result using the above stratification theorem together with the ideas of [15].

Theorem 4.2. *Let* G *and* X *be as in Theorem 1.3 and let* Z_j*'s be the connected components of* X^G *as in Theorem 4.1. Then for every* $i \ge 0$*, there are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} CH^*_G(Z_j, i) \xrightarrow{\cong} CH^*_G(X, i)$$

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} CH^*(Z_j, i) \xrightarrow{\cong} CH^*(X, i).$$

Proof. We prove the first part of the theorem. The proof of the second part is same (and easier). We prove it by induction on n. For $n = 0$, the map $X = X_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} Z_0$ is an equivariant vector bundle and hence the theorem follows from the equivariant version of the homotopy invariance (*cf.* [23, Theorem 1.7]). We now assume by induction that

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} CH^*_G(Z_j, i) \xrightarrow{\cong} CH^*_G(X_{n-1}, i). \tag{4.1}$$

Let $i_{n-1} : X_{n-1} \hookrightarrow X_n$ and $j_n : U_n = X_n - X_{n-1} \hookrightarrow X_n$ be the G-equivariant closed and open embeddings. We consider the localization exact sequence (*cf.* [17, Proposition 2.1]).

$$\cdots \to CH^*_G(X_{n-1}, i) \xrightarrow{i_{n-1,*}} CH^*_G(X_n, i) \xrightarrow{j^*_n} CH^*_G(U_n, i) \to \cdots.$$

Using 4.1, it suffices now to construct a canonical splitting of the flat pull-back j^*_n in order to prove the theorem.

Let $V_n \subset U_n \times Z_n$ be the graph of the projection $U_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} Z_n$. Then V_n is a G-invariant closed subset of $U_n \times Z_n$ for the diagonal action of G. Let Y_n denote the closure of V_n in $X_n \times Z_n$. It is then easy to see that Y_n is a G-invariant closed subscheme of $X_n \times Z_n$. Let $V_n \overset{\overline{j}_n}{\hookrightarrow} Y_n$ denote the open embedding. We consider the composite maps

$$p_n : V_n \hookrightarrow U_n \times Z_n \to U_n, \quad q_n : V_n \hookrightarrow U_n \times Z_n \to Z_n \text{ and}$$
$$\overline{p}_n : Y_n \hookrightarrow X_n \times Z_n \to X_n, \quad \overline{q}_n : Y_n \hookrightarrow X_n \times Z_n \to Z_n.$$

Note that $\overline{p}_n$ and $\overline{q}_n$ are G-equivariant projective maps (since X_n and Z_n are projective), q_n is smooth and p_n is an isomorphism.

We consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
CH^*_G(Z_n, i) \arrow[r, "\overline{q}^*_n"] \arrow[d, "\phi^*_n"', "\cong"] & CH^*_G(Y_n, i) \arrow[d, "\overline{p}_{n*}"] \\
CH^*_G(U_n, i) & CH^*_G(X_n, i) \arrow[l, "j^*_n"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.2}$$

where ϕ^*_n is an isomorphism by the homotopy invariance. We also observe that the map $\overline{q}^*_n$ exists since Z_n is smooth (*cf.* [17, Proposition 2.1]). It suffices to show that this diagram commutes. For, the map $\overline{p}_{n*} \circ \overline{q}^*_n \circ {\phi^*_n}^{-1}$ will then give the desired splitting of the map j^*_n.

We now consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_n & U_n \arrow[l, "j_n"'] & \\
Y_n \arrow[u, "\overline{p}_n"] \arrow[dr, "\overline{q}_n"'] & V_n \arrow[l, "\overline{j}_n"] \arrow[u, "p_n"'] \arrow[d, "q_n"] & U_n \arrow[l, "(\phi_n, id)"] \arrow[ul, "id"'] \arrow[dl, "\phi_n"] \\
& Z_n &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the top left square is Cartesian and j_n is flat, we have $j^*_n \circ \overline{p}_{n*} = p_{n*} \circ \overline{j}^*_n$. Now using the fact that (ϕ_n, id) is an isomorphism, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
j^*_n \circ \overline{p}_{n*} \circ \overline{q}^*_n &= p_{n*} \circ \overline{j}^*_n \circ \overline{q}^*_n &&= p_{n*} \circ q^*_n \\
&= p_{n*} \circ (\phi_n, id)_* \circ (\phi_n, id)^* \circ q^*_n &&= id_* \circ \phi^*_n \\
&= \phi^*_n.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the commutativity of 4.2 and hence the theorem. ☐

Remark 4.3. We point out here that in the proof above, we never used the smoothness property of the various strata of X. We only needed Z_j's to be smooth.

We need the following result before we give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a split torus acting on a variety X trivially. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$CH^*(X, i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} CH^*_G(X, i).$$

Proof. It suffices to show that for a fixed $j \geq 0$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=j} CH^p(X, i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} CH^q_G(k, 0) \to CH^j_G(X, i) \tag{4.3}$$

is an isomorphism. We choose a good pair (V, U) for the G-action corresponding to j as in [8, Example 3.1]. Thus U is a finite product of the punctured affine spaces and hence $U/G = (\mathbb{P}^n)^r$, where r is the rank of G. Since G acts trivially on

X, we have $X_G = X \times (U/G) \cong X \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^r$. Using the projective bundle formula for the higher Chow groups, we get the isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=j} CH^p(X,i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} CH^q((\mathbb{P}^n)^r, 0) \xrightarrow{\cong} CH^j(X_G, i).$$

But the term on the left and the right side of the map here are same as the corresponding terms in 4.3. This proves the lemma. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Since G acts trivially on each Z_j, the equivariant part of Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 give us isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} CH^*(Z_j,i)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S(G) &\cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} \left(CH^*(Z_j,i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S(G)\right) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} CH^*_G(Z_j,i) \xrightarrow{\cong} CH^*_G(X,i).\end{aligned}$$

But the top left term is same as $CH^*(X,i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S(G)$ by the non-equivariant part of Theorem 4.2. This gives the isomorphism of 1.2.

To prove that the spectral sequence 1.1 degenerates, it suffices to show that for every $i \geq 0$,

$$\mathrm{Tor}_p^{S(G)}(\mathbb{Z}, CH^*_G(X,i)) = \begin{cases} CH^*(X,i) & \text{if } p = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

But this follows directly from 1.2 and the fact that any free resolution $C_\bullet \to CH^*(X,i)$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-module remains a free resolution after base changing to $S(G)$ as the latter is a polynomial $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra.

For a connected and split reductive group, 4.4 and hence the degeneration of the spectral sequence follows from the torus case and the isomorphism in 3.2. ☐

5. Forgetful Map In K-Theory And Riemann-Roch

In this section, we use Theorem 1.3 and the Riemann-Roch theorem of [17] to prove Theorems 1.5 and 1.6. In this section, we will make the convention that an abelian group A will actually mean the group $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

We begin with the following result. For a linear algebraic group G, let $I_G \subset R(G)$ denote the ideal of virtual representations of G of rank zero. That is, I_G is the kernel of the rank map $R(G) \to \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\widehat{R(G)}$ denote the I_G-adic completion of the ring $R(G)$. Similarly, we denote the J_G-adic completion of the ring $S(G)$ by $\widehat{S(G)}$. One of the consequences of the Riemann-Roch theorem of [7] is that there is a ring isomorphism

$$ch^G_k : \widehat{R(G)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{S(G)}. \tag{5.1}$$

For a linear algebraic group G acting on a smooth variety X, let $\widehat{K^G_i(X)}$ denote the I_G-adic completion of the $R(G)$-module $K^G_i(X)$, and let $\widehat{CH^*_G(X,i)}$ denote the J_G-adic completion of the $S(G)$-module $CH^*_G(X,i)$. We define the $\widehat{R(G)}$-module $\widetilde{K_i^G(X)}$ to be the group $K_i^G(X) \otimes_{R(G)} \widehat{R(G)}$. We similarly define the $\widehat{S(G)}$-module $\widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)}$ to be the group $CH^*_G(X,i) \otimes_{S(G)} \widehat{S(G)}$. We recall from [17, Theorem 1.2] that there is a functorial Chern character map

$$ch^G_X : K^G_i(X) \to \widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)}. \tag{5.2}$$

We also recall that for a closed subgroup $H \subset G$, the natural map $X \overset{H}{\times} U \to X \overset{G}{\times} U$ is smooth for any good pair (V,U) for the G-action. This defines a natural restriction map $CH^*_G(X,i) \xrightarrow{s^G_H} CH^*_H(X,i)$. Since a G-equivariant vector bundle (or a coherent sheaf) on X is also an H-equivariant vector bundle (or a coherent sheaf), there is a natural restriction map of spectra $K^G(X) \xrightarrow{r^G_H} K^H(X)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a linear algebraic group acting on a smooth variety X and let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^G_i(X) \arrow[r, "ch^G_X"] \arrow[d, "r^G_H"'] & \widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)} \arrow[d, "s^G_H"] \\
K^H_i(X) \arrow[r, "ch^H_X"'] & \widetilde{CH^*_H(X,i)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where r^G_H and s^G_H are the natural restriction maps on the equivariant K-groups and higher Chow groups.

Proof. By [17, Corollary 4.3], the map $\widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)} \to \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} CH^j_G(X,i)$ is injective. Hence we can replace the former by the latter group in the above diagram. Now it suffices to check that for any $\alpha \in K^G_i(X)$ and any $j \geq 0$, the j-th components of $s^G_H \circ ch^G_X(\alpha)$ and $ch^H_X \circ r^G_H(\alpha)$ are same in the product $\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} CH^j_H(X,i)$. So we fix $j \geq 0$ and choose a good pair (V,U) for the G-action corresponding to $n \gg j$. Then (V,U) is a good pair for the H-action as well. From the construction of the equivariant Chern character map (*cf.* [17, Proposition 7.1]), it suffices now to show that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^G_i(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K_i(X_G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & CH^*(X_G,i) \arrow[d] \\
K^H_i(X) \arrow[r] & K_i(X_H) \arrow[r] & CH^*(X_H,i)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. But left square clearly commutes, and the right square commutes by the contravariance property of the non-equivariant Chern character for the maps between smooth varieties. ☐

Now we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.5. Let G be a linear algebraic group acting on a smooth projective variety X. Applying Lemma 5.1 for $H = \{1\}$ and noting that the forgetful maps have factorizations

$$K^G_i(X) \to K^G_i(X) \otimes_{R(G)} \mathbb{Q} \to K_i(X),$$

$$\widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)} \to \widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)} \otimes_{\widehat{S(G)}} \mathbb{Q} \to CH^*(X,i),$$

we get a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^G_i(X) \arrow[r, "ch^G_X"] \arrow[d, two heads] & \widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)} \arrow[d, two heads] \\
K^G_i(X) \otimes_{R(G)} \mathbb{Q} \arrow[r, dotted] \arrow[d, "r^G_X"'] & \widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)} \otimes_{\widehat{S(G)}} \mathbb{Q} \arrow[d, "s^G_X"] \\
K_i(X) \arrow[r, "ch_X"'] & CH^*(X,i).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.3}$$

Next we apply [17, Theorem 1.2] to see that the map ch^G_X factors as the map

$$K^G_i(X) \to \widetilde{K^G_i(X)} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{ch}^G_X} \widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)}, \tag{5.4}$$

where the map $\widetilde{ch}^G_X$ is an isomorphism of $\widehat{R(G)}$-modules via the isomorphism of 5.2. In particular, $\widetilde{ch}^G_X$ and hence ch^G_X takes $I_G K^G_i(X)$ to $J_G \widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)}$. This shows that the top horizontal map in 5.3 descends to a map

$$K^G_i(X) \otimes_{R(G)} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\overline{ch}^G_X} \widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)} \otimes_{\widehat{S(G)}} \mathbb{Q}$$

such that the above diagram commutes.

We claim that $\overline{ch}^G_X$ is an isomorphism. For this, we first note that $\widetilde{ch}^G_X$ is an isomorphism of $\widehat{R(G)}$-modules by [17, Theorem 1.2]. The claim now follows by tensoring both sides of $\widetilde{ch}^G_X$ with $\widehat{R(G)}/I_G\widehat{R(G)} \cong \widehat{S(G)}/J_G\widehat{S(G)} \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and then using the isomorphisms

$$K^G_i(X) \otimes_{R(G)} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} K^G_i(X) \otimes_{R(G)} \left(R(G)/I_G\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{K^G_i(X)} \otimes_{\widehat{R(G)}} \left(\widehat{R(G)}/I_G\widehat{R(G)}\right).$$

Next we observe from 4.4 (see the proof of Theorem 1.3 in the general split algebraic group case) and the isomorphism

$$CH^*_G(X,i) \otimes_{S(G)} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{CH^*_G(X,i)} \otimes_{\widehat{S(G)}} \mathbb{Q} \tag{5.5}$$

that the map s^G_X in the diagram 5.3 is an isomorphism. The bottom horizontal map ch_X is an isomorphism by the non-equivariant Riemann-Roch theorem (*cf.* [3, Theorem 9.1]). We conclude that the map r^G_X is also an isomorphism. In particular, the forgetful map $K^G_i(X) \to K_i(X)$ is surjective. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

If G is connected reductive but not necessarily split, note that [17, Theorem 1.2] is still true and hence all the argument above (except the isomorphism of s^G_X) still goes through. In particular, $\overline{ch}^G_X$ and ch_X in 5.3 are isomorphisms. Hence by 5.5, we only need to show that the map s^G_X is an isomorphism even in this case, i.e,

$$\frac{CH^*_G(X,i)}{J_G CH^*_G(X,i)} \xrightarrow{\cong} CH^*(X,i). \tag{5.6}$$

By [6, Exposé XXII, Corollaire 2.4], there is a finite Galois extension l/k with Galois group Γ such that G_l is a split reductive group. Let $CH^*_G(X_l,i)$ denote the equivariant higher Chow groups of X_l for the action of G_l. It then follows from [17, Lemma 4.4 and Remark 4.5] that Γ acts on $CH^*_G(X_l,i)$ and $CH^*_G(X,i)$ is its Galois invariant. The same holds for $CH^*(X,i)$. Let $tr : CH^*_G(X_l,i) \to CH^*_G(X,i)$ denote the trace map

$$tr(x) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(x).$$

Let $\overline{J_G CH^*_G(X,i)}$ denote the image of $J_{G_l} CH^*_G(X_l,i)$ under the trace map. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & J_G CH^*_G(X,i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & CH^*_G(X,i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & CH^*(X,i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & J_{G_l} CH^*_G(X_l,i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & CH^*_G(X_l,i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "tr"'] & CH^*(X_l,i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "tr"] & 0 \\
 & \overline{J_G CH^*_G(X,i)} \arrow[r, hook] & CH^*_G(X,i) \arrow[r] & CH^*(X,i). &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.7}$$

The composite vertical maps in the middle and the right columns are identity by the definition of the trace map. Hence the composite left vertical map is also identity. The middle row is exact since G_l is split. A simple diagram chase now shows that the top row must also be exact, showing 5.6.

If the characteristic of k is zero, every connected group has a Levi decomposition and then the problem is reduced to the case of reductive group by [17, Proposition 3.4]. Note here that Proposition 3.4 of [17] is shown for the equivariant Chow groups. But the same proof also works for the K-groups. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.6: By [17, Corollary 1.3], the Riemann-Roch map $\widehat{\tau}^G_X$ has a factorization

$$\widehat{K^G_i(X)} \to \widehat{CH^*_G(X,i)} \to \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} CH^j_G(X,i)$$

and the first map is an isomorphism. Hence we only need to show that the second map is also an isomorphism. By [17, Proposition 5.1], we only need to show that $CH^*_G(X,i)$ is generated as $S(G)$-module by a set (possibly infinite) S of homogeneous elements of a bounded degree. But we have shown in 5.6 that the map

$$CH^*_G(X,i)/J_G CH^*_G(X,i) \to CH^*(X,i)$$

is an isomorphism. Since the latter is a graded module of a bounded degree, say d, the above isomorphism implies that

$$CH^*_G(X,i) \subset CH^*_G(X,i)_{\le d} + J_G\left(CH^*_G(X,i)_{\le d}\right) \subset S(G)\left(CH^*_G(X,i)_{\le d}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6 when G is reductive. In characteristic zero, the same remark as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 1.5 works to reduce to the case of reductive groups. ☐

Proof of Corollary 1.7: We first note that if (V,U) is a good pair for the G-action on X, then the projection map $X \overset{G}{\times} U \to X$ induces a natural pull-back map $K^G_i(X) \to K^G_i(X \times U) \cong K_i\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U\right)$. This clearly factors through a map $K^G_i(X) \to K^{top}_i(X_G)$. In order to show that this induces the isomorphism of 1.5, we only need to show that there is an isomorphism $K^{top}_i(X_G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} CH^j_G(X,i)$ in view of Theorem 1.6. In view of the non-equivariant Riemann-Roch (*cf.* [3, Theorem 9.1] isomorphism $K_i\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U\right) \xrightarrow{ch} CH^*\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U, i\right)$ and the compatibility of the Chern character with the pull-back map on the higher Chow groups of smooth varieties, we now need to show that there is an isomorphism

$$\varprojlim CH^*\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U, i\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} CH^j_G(X,i), \tag{5.8}$$

where the inverse limit on the left is taken over the good pairs (V,U).

Now we choose a sequence (V_n, U_n) of good pairs for the G-action on X as in [27, Remark 1.4]. So let W be a faithful representation of G of dimension r and put $V_n = \mathrm{Hom}\left(k^{r+n}, W\right)$. Let $S_n \subset V_n$ be the closed subset consisting of those linear maps which do not have full rank. It is easy to see that the codimension of S_n in V_n is greater than n for each $n \ge 0$, and G acts freely on $U_n = V_n - S_n$. Moreover, the surjection $K^{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow K^n$ gives a natural embedding $V_n \hookrightarrow V_{n+1}$ which takes U_n into U_{n+1}. It follows from *loc. cit.* then that (V_n, U_n) is a directed system of good pairs. The fact that the inverse limit in 5.8 is taken only over good pairs and the higher Chow groups of good pairs are same inside a given range, we see immediately from this and from our choice of the sequence (V_n, U_n) that the term on the left in 5.8 is same as $\varprojlim_n CH^*\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U_n, i\right)$. Hence it suffices to show that the map of pro-groups

$$f_n : CH^*\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U_n, i\right) \to \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} CH^j_G\left(X, i\right) \tag{5.9}$$

$$f_n\left(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \cdots\right) = \left(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n\right)$$

is an isomorphism. Notice here that the pro-group structure on the right is by the projection and for each n, there is an isomorphism $CH^{\leq n}\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U_n, i\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} CH^{\leq n}_G\left(X, i\right)$ of higher Chow groups up to codimension n by choice of the good pairs. To show that 5.9 is an isomorphism of pro-groups, it is equivalent to showing that for each n, one can find p and a homomorphism $g_n : CH^{\leq n+p}_G\left(X, i\right) \to CH^*\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U_n, i\right)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
CH^*\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U_{n+p}, i\right) \arrow[r, "f_{n+p}"] \arrow[d] & CH^{\leq n+p}_G\left(X, i\right) \arrow[d] \arrow[dl, "g_n"] \\
CH^*\left(X \overset{G}{\times} U_n, i\right) \arrow[r, "f_n"'] & CH^{\leq n}_G\left(X, i\right)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.10}$$

commutes. But this is done by just taking $p = 0$ and g_n to be the right inverse of f_n in 5.9. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.8: Since k is of characteristic zero, G has Levi subgroups. Using [16, Proposition 3.7], we can assume that G is reductive. By [16, Theorem 1.2], there is a Chern character map

$$ch^X_{\mathfrak{m}} : \widehat{K^G_i(X)}_{\mathfrak{m}, L} \to \widehat{CH^*_Z(X^{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}, i)}_L \tag{5.11}$$

which is an isomorphism. On the other hand, we have shown above that the natural map $\widehat{CH^*_Z\left(X^{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}, i\right)} \to \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} CH^j_Z\left(X^{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}}, i\right)$ is an isomorphism. This finishes the proof. When L is replaced by k, then the isomorphism of 5.11 holds for all maximal ideals of $R_k(G)$. ☐

6. Equivariant K-theory with finite coefficients

This section is mostly independent of the rest of this paper. Here we discuss the possibility of the analogues of Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.7 when the equivariant K-theory is considered with finite coefficients instead of the rational coefficients. As the reader will observe, this is in a way related to the equivariant Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture. We demonstrate here that the ordinary Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture also implies its equivariant counterpart.

Let G be a connected reductive group over $\mathbb{C}$ acting on a quasi-projective variety X. Unlike the previous sections, we do not assume X to be projective. We shall denote the analytic space $X(\mathbb{C})$ also by X. Let us recall from [23] (see also [21]) that if X is smooth, the equivariant topological K-theory spectrum of X is the spectrum $K^{top}(G,X) = G^{top}(G,X)$ of the bounded Fredholm complexes of the topological bundles on X with an action of G which is compatible with the G-action on X. For a prime p, the equivariant topological K-theory with coefficient $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}$ is the smash product of $K^{top}(G,X)$ with the Moore spectrum Σ^{∞}/p^{ν}. This will be denoted by $K^{top}(G,X;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu})$. If X is singular, we can equivariantly embed X as a closed subvariety of a smooth G-variety Y (since G acts linearly) and then let $G^{top}(G,X;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu})$ to be the homotopy fiber of the map of spectra $G^{top}(G,Y;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}) \to G^{top}(G,Y-X;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu})$. It is known (*cf.* [23, Section 5.5]) that $G^{top}(G,X;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu})$ is independent of the choice of the embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$. The transition from the algebraic vector bundles to the topological bundles gives a natural map $\rho_X : G^G(X;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}) \to G^{top}(G,X;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu})$. Also for X smooth, the projection map $X_G = X \overset{G}{\times} EG \to X$ gives the pullback map $K^{top}(G,X;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}) \to K^{top}(G,X\times EG;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}) = K^{top}(X_G;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu})$, where the last term is the ordinary topological K-theory of X_G. The following should be thought of as the equivariant version of the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture.

Proposition 6.1. *Let G be a connected algebraic group acting on a smooth quasi-projective variety X of dimension d over $\mathbb{C}$ and let M be a maximal compact subgroup of the Lie group $G(\mathbb{C})$. Then the natural map*

$$\rho_X : K_i^G(X;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}) \to K_i^{top}(M,X;\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu})$$

is an isomorphism for $i \geq d-1$ and a monomorphism for $i = d-2$.

We write $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}$ and $R(G;\Lambda) = R(G)/p^{\nu}$ for the rest of this section. We need the following result about the ordinary K-theory to prove the above proposition.

Lemma 6.2. *Let X be a complex variety of dimension d. Then the natural map*

$$G_i(X;\Lambda) \to G_i^{top}(X;\Lambda)$$

is an isomorphism for $i \geq d-1$ and a monomorphism for $i = d-2$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by the induction on the dimension of X. First suppose that X is smooth. It was shown by Suslin (*cf.* [20, Theorem 4.1]) in this case that the lemma follows from the Bloch-Kato conjecture which has now been settled by the works of Voevodsky and others (*cf.* [29], [30]). If X is singular, the isomorphism $G_*(X) \cong G_*(X_{\text{red}})$ allows one to assume X to be reduced.

Now suppose the lemma holds for all varieties whose dimension is less than d. If $X = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_r$ is a union of its irreducible components, we let $Z = X_2 \cdots \cup X_r$ and $U = X - Z$. Then U is irreducible and Z has smaller number of irreducible components. Now the diagram of localization sequence (use [23, Lemma 5.6] with

$G = 1$)

(6.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_{i+1}(U,\Lambda) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_i(Z,\Lambda) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_i(X,\Lambda) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_i(U,\Lambda) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_{i-1}(Z,\Lambda) \arrow[d] \\
G^{top}_{i+1}(U,\Lambda) \arrow[r] & G^{top}_i(Z,\Lambda) \arrow[r] & G^{top}_i(X,\Lambda) \arrow[r] & G^{top}_i(U,\Lambda) \arrow[r] & G^{top}_{i-1}(Z,\Lambda)
\end{tikzcd}$$

of the algebraic and topological G-theory and an induction on the number of irreducible components reduces the problem to the case when X is irreducible. We alert the reader here that the case of $i = d-1, d-2$ needs a more careful use of snake lemma in the above diagram but causes no trouble.

If X is irreducible, there exists a dense open subset $U \subset X$ which is smooth and hence the complement $Z = X - U$ has dimension smaller than d. The diagram 6.1 above and induction on dimension together with the lemma for the smooth case completes the proof. □

We recall the following construction before we prove the next result. If G is a linear algebraic group acting trivially on a variety X, let Coh_X (resp. Coh^G_X) denote the abelian category of coherent (resp. G-equivariant coherent) $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. Then there is a natural exact functor $Coh_X \to Coh^G_X$ by letting G act trivially on a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$. This gives a natural map of spectra $G_*(X) \xrightarrow{f} G^G_*(X)$. Since $G^G_*(X)$ is a module spectrum over the representation ring $R(G)$, we obtain a natural map

$$G_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R(G) \to G^G_*(X) \tag{6.2}$$
$$\alpha \otimes \rho \mapsto \rho \cdot f(\alpha).$$

We also have a similar map on the K-theory with finite coefficients.

Lemma 6.3. *Let T be a diagonalizable group acting trivially on a complex variety X. Then the maps*

$$G_i(X;\Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda R(T;\Lambda) \to G^T_i(X;\Lambda), \text{ and} \tag{6.3}$$
$$G^{top}_i(X;\Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda R(T;\Lambda) \to G^{top}_i(T,X;\Lambda)$$

are isomorphisms of Λ-algebras.

Proof. We consider the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences of Λ-modules.

(6.4)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & G_i(X)/p^\nu \otimes_\Lambda R(T;\Lambda) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_i(X;\Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda R(T;\Lambda) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Tor}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_{i-1}(X),\Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda R(T;\Lambda) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & G^T_i(X)/p^\nu \arrow[r] & G^T_i(X;\Lambda) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Tor}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(G^T_{i-1}(X),\Lambda\right) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The top row is obtained by tensoring the non-equivariant version of the bottom row with $R(T)$. This row is then exact since $R(T)$ is a flat $\mathbb{Z}$-module. The left vertical map is an isomorphism by [25, Lemma 5.6]. Moreover, the flatness of $R(T)$ also implies that the last term on the bottom row is same as

$\mathrm{Tor}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_{i-1}(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}R(T),\Lambda) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_{i-1}(X),\Lambda)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}R(T)$. In particular, the right vertical map is an isomorphism and hence so is the middle vertical map. The second isomorphism in 6.3 follows exactly in the same way once we know that the left vertical map in the topological version of the diagram 6.4 is an isomorphism. But this follows from [21, Proposition 2.2]. □

Proof of Proposition 6.1: We in fact prove the more general statement that for any complex variety X of dimension d with G-action, the map

$$\rho_X : G^G_i(X;\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu) \to G^{top}_i(M,X;\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu) \tag{6.5}$$

is an isomorphism for $i \geq d-1$ and a monomorphism for $i = d-2$.

Following an idea of Thomason, we prove it by reducing it to the case of torus. So we first assume that $G = T$ is a torus which acts trivially on X. In this case, the proposition follows directly from Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 using the additional known fact that $R(T) \cong R(M)$.

If T does not act trivially on X, we prove the proposition by the induction on dimension of X. First use Thomason's generic slice theorem (*cf.* [23, Proposition 2.4]) to get a dense open T-invariant open set $U \subset X$ and a diagonalizable subgroup $T_1 \subset T$ with quotient $T_2 = T/T_1$ such that T acts on U via T_2, which in turn acts freely on U such that U/T is a geometric quotient and there is a T-equivariant isomorphism

$$U \xrightarrow{\cong} U/T \times T_2 \xrightarrow{\cong} U/T \overset{T_1}{\times} T.$$

In particular, we have $G^T_*(U;\Lambda) \cong G^T_*\left(U/T \overset{T_1}{\times} T;\Lambda\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} G^{T_1}_*(U/T;\Lambda)$, where the last isomorphism follows from the Morita equivalence (*cf.* [23, Theorem 1.10]). The topological version of the Morita equivalence follows from [21, Proposition 2.1] and the example preceding this in [21] (see [23, Section 5.3] for more on this). In particular, we get $G^{top}_*(M,U;\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} G^{top}_*(M_1,U/T;\Lambda)$. Since T_1 acts trivially on U/T, the proposition holds for the T_1-action on U/T. Since $\dim(U) \geq \dim(U/T)$, we conclude from the above Morita isomorphisms that the proposition holds also for the T-action on U.

Now we put $Z = X - U$, use the diagram 6.4 of localization sequences and the induction and argue as before to conclude the proof of the proposition when G is a torus.

To prove general case, one can easily reduce to the case when G is reductive. Let T be a maximal torus of G and let B be a Borel subgroup of G containing T. Choose M as a maximal compact subgroup of G containing a maximal compact subgroup M' of T. Then the compactness of G/B implies that $G/B \cong M/M'$. Then we have maps

$$G^G_*(X;\Lambda) \to G^T_*(X;\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} G^G_*(G/B \times X;\Lambda) \to G^G_*(X;\Lambda)$$

$$G^{top}_*(M,X;\Lambda) \to G^{top}_*(M',X;\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} G^{top}_*(M/M' \times X;\Lambda) \to G^{top}_*(M,X;\Lambda)$$

such that they are compatible under ρ_X and both the composite maps are identity (*cf.* [23, Proof of Theorem 5.9]). The proposition now follows from the case of torus. □

Corollary 6.4. *Let G be a connected algebraic group acting on a smooth quasi-projective variety X of dimension d over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the natural map*

$$K^G_i (X; \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu)_{I_G} \to K^{top}_i (X_G; \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu)$$

is an isomorphism for $i \geq d - 1$.

Proof. There are maps

$$K^G_i (X; \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu)_{I_G} \to K^G_i (X; \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu)[\beta^{-1}]_{I_G} \to K^{top}_i (X_G; \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu)$$

such that the second map is an isomorphism for all $i \geq 0$ by [26, Theorem 3.2]. Thus we need to show that the first map is isomorphism for $i \geq d - 1$. But this follows from Proposition 6.1 and [25, Theorem 5.9]. ☐

The relevance of this result for us comes from the following. $K^{top}_i (X_G; \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu)$ is described in terms of the equivariant singular cohomology of X with finite coefficients. Hence the above corollary can be thought of as a mod-n analogue of Theorem 1.6 in the sense that the completion of the equivariant algebraic mod-n K-theory of X with respect to the augmentation ideal of $R(G)$ can be described in terms of the equivariant motivic cohomology with finite coefficients.

References

[1] M. Atiyah, G. Segal, *Equivariant K-theory and completion*, J. Diff. Geom., **3**, (1969), 1-18.

[2] A. Bialynicki-Birula, *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*, Ann. Math., (2), **98**, (1973), 480-497.

[3] S. Bloch, *Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory*, Adv. in Math., **61**, (1986), no. 3, 267-304.

[4] M. Brion, Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions, Transform. Groups, **2**, (1997), no. 3, 225-267.

[5] C. Chevalley, *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections*, Amer. J. Math., **77**, (1955), 778-782.

[6] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en Groupes*, Lecture Notes in Math., **153**, (1970), Springer-Verlag.

[7] D. Edidin, W. Graham, *Riemann-Roch for equivariant Chow groups*, Duke Math. J., **102**, (2000), no. 3, 567-594.

[8] D. Edidin, W. Graham, *Equivariant intersection theory*, Invent. Math., **131**, (1998), 595-634.

[9] D. Edidin, W. Graham, *Algebraic cycles and completions of equivariant K-theory*, Duke Math. J., **144**, (2008), no. 3, 489-524.

[10] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, (1998).

[11] W. Graham, *The Forgetful map in Rational K-theory*, Pacific J. Math., **236**, (2008), no. 1, 45-55.

[12] J. Gubeladze, *The nilpotence conjecture in K-theory of toric varieties*, Invent. Math., **160**, (2005), no. 1, 173-216.

[13] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate text in Math., **52**, Springer-Verlag.

[14] W. Hesselink, *Concentration under actions of algebraic groups*, In: Paul Dubreil and Marie-Paule Malliavin Algebra Seminar, 33rd Year (Paris), Lect. Notes Math., **867**, (1980), 55-89.

[15] N. Karpenko, *Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and of isotropic flag varieties*, Algebra Anal., **12**, (2000), 3-69.

[16] A. Krishna, *Completions of Higher Equivariant K-theory*, MathArXiv, mathAG/0906.2678v1, (2009).

[17] A. Krishna, *Riemann-Roch For Equivariant K-theory*, MathArXiv, mathAG/0906.1696v1, (2009).

[18] M. Levine, *The algebraic K-theory of the classical groups and some twisted forms*, Duke Math. J., **70**, (1993), no. 2, 405-443.

[19] A. Merkurjev, *Equivariant K-theory. Handbook of K-theory*, Springer-Verlag, **1, 2**, (2005), 925-954.

[20] C. Pedrini, C. Weibel, *The higher K-theory of complex varieties*, K-Theory, **21**, (2000), no. 4, 367-385.

[21] G. Segal, *Equivariant K-theory*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **34**, 1968, 129-151.

[22] H. Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion II*, J. Math. Kyoto, **15**, (1975), 573-605.

[23] R. Thomason, *Equivariant algebraic vs. topological K-homology Atiyah-Segal-style*, Duke Math. J., **56**, (1988), 589-636.

[24] R. Thomason, *Une formule de Lefschetz en K-théorie Équivariante algébrique*, Duke Math. J., **68**, (1992), 447-462.

[25] R. Thomason, *Lefschetz Riemann-Roch theorem and coherent trace formula*, Invent. Math., **85**, (1986), no. 3, 515-543.

[26] R. Thomason, *Comparison of equivariant algebraic and topological K-theory*, Duke Math. J., **53**, (1986), no. 3, 795-825.

[27] B. Totaro, *The Chow ring of a classifying space*, Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc., **67**, (1999), 249-281.

[28] G. Vezzosi, A. Vistoli, *Higher algebraic K-theory for actions of diagonalizable groups*, Invent. Math., **153**, (2003), no. 1, 1-44.

[29] V. Voevodsky, *On motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/l$ coefficients*, Preprint, (2003), http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0639/.

[30] C. Weibel, *The norm residue isomorphism*, preprint, K-theory ArXiv., (2007).

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute Of Fundamental Research,
Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai, 400005, India.
Email: amal@math.tifr.res.in